

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

)	CASE NO.
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Magistrate Judge Carmen E. Henderson
)	
)	REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING
)	MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV.
Defendant.)	P. 26(f) AND LR 16.3(b)(3)

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 16:3(b)(3), a meeting was held on

_____ and was attended by:

_____ counsel for plaintiff(s) _____

_____ counsel for plaintiff(s) _____

_____ counsel for defendant(s) _____

_____ counsel for defendant(s) _____

2. The parties:

___ have not been required to make initial disclosures.

___ have exchanged the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and the Court's prior order.

☐ Expedited
 ☐ Standard
☐ Administrative
 ☐ Complex
☐ Mass Tort

- _____ Early Neutral Evaluation
- _____ Mediation
- _____ Arbitration
- _____ Summary Jury Trial
- _____ Summary Bench Trial
- _____ Case not suitable for ADR

6. Recommended Discovery Plan:

(b) Discovery cut-off date: _____

7. Recommended dispositive motion date: _____

8. Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties: _____

9. Recommended date for a Status Hearing: _____

10. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

Attorney for Plaintiff(s) _____

Attorney for Plaintiff(s) _____

Attorney for Defendant(s) _____

Attorney for Defendant(s) _____